

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/736,404	12/15/2003	William Sobonya	MAEE 2 00035	MAEE 2 00035 6236	
27885 7590 03/28/2006			EXAMINER		
	E, FAGAN, MINNICI	RUDDOCK, ULA CORINNA			
1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SEVENTH FLOOR CLEVELAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1771		

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ч.
•

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/736,404	SOBONYA, WILLIAM	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ula C. Ruddock	1771	

Advisory Action	10/736,404 SOBONYA, WILLIAM		M			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ula C. Ruddock	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
		•				
IE REPLY FILED <u>06 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da ).	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi- te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	ow);	·				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		-	-			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered and necessary and			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>			nce because:			
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the final rejection mailed December 8, 2005, has been maintained. The Hawley et al., Hamilton et al, and Pantaleo et al. references are properly combinable and the combination of these references result in Applicant's invention.

ULA RUDDOCK
PRIMARY EXAMINER